

when I first learned about Sinclair Broadcasting's intentions of showing a film (described as a documentary) which puts down John Kerry and his Vietnam efforts, I had an eye-opening experience. A little checking showed that my local affiliate (actually two - WKEF and WRGT) were owned by this giant. That's when the light came on about the "editorials" (featuring Mark Harmon) they broadcast each night, and which I found so offensive I stopped watching their 11:00 p.m. newscast in favor of another local affiliate.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidati

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.